

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,304	12/23/2003	Jong-Boo Kim	1349.1362	5577	
21171 7590 08/04/2008 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700			PARRIES, DRU M		
1201 NEW YC WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. IN DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
··· Igilli (G1G	1,002000		2836		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/743,304	KIM, JONG-BOO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DRU M. PARRIES	2836		

	DRU M. PARRIES	2836	l
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>Since reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	a avtangion foo
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
/Michael J Sherry/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Admission and Kim are still believed to read on the claim limitations. Regarding the teachings, Admission teaches three different types of terminals (positive, negative, and data), and Kim teaches a primary/pilot terminal mating system that can be used with power and control terminals (i.e. positive/negative terminals are primary and control is pilot) and with postive and negative power terminals (i.e. positive are primary with respect to negative and negative are pilot, or vice versa). Kim teaches both methods of implementing the primary/pilot mating system and it would be obvious for Admission to use both methods in his invention, particularly because Admission deals with all three types of terminals. The Applicant mentioned on more than one occasion that Kim talks about at two terminal discussion, however, Kim teaches about the relativistps between all three terminals (positive, negative, and data - i.e. that data have the shortest contact time and positive has the greatest, if implemented using Kim's primary/pilot mating system.)

Regarding the argument about a two terminal discussion, an synonymous example of Kim's above teaching is like a reference teaching in one instance that all wires of style A (power) are longer than all wires of style B (data), and another teaching in the same reference states that blue style A wires (positive) are longer than red style A wires (negative). Now, this reference is only discussing two types of wires at a time, however, at the end you know the relative lengths of all three types of wires discussed (blue style A wires, red style A wires, and style B wires), and that is exactly like Kim's teaching of the positive, negative and data terminals.

Regarding the motivation, the Applicant stated that the motivation "relates to the separation of first-to-unmate terminals from last-to-unmate terminals." however, the Examiner thought that this is exactly what this invention was about, in what order do the terminals unmate. Therefore, the Examiner is unsure as to what the Applicant means by this. Also, the Examiner is unsure what the Applicant is referring to when he/she states that the Examiner uses common knowledge evidence for the rejection. As stated earlier, Admission teaches the use of three different terminals and Kim teaches a mating system relationship between the three different terminals